

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/026,091	12/21/2001	Stephen R. Forrest	10644/11902	8289
26646	7590 03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON &			YAMNITZKY,	MARIE ROSE
ONE BROAD NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Mr		
- · · ·	10/026,091	FORREST ET AL.			
Office Action Summary					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence dadres	3		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 January 2004 and 09 Febru	ary 2004.			
,	OLD This patient is non-finel				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice ur					
Disposition of Claims					
•	diantia n				
4) Claim(s) 75-109 is/are pending in the app					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	illulawii iloili consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>75,78,80-83,85-88,91,93-96,98-</u>	102 105 106 and 100 is/are reig	arted			
7) Claim(s) 76,77,79,84,89,90,92,97,103,10		0.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers			*		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			I.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
Copies of the certified copies of th	· ·	received in this National Sta	ige		
application from the International E			•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	s)/Mail Date	·		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date rec'd 09 Feb 2004.	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcup \) Notice of I (6) \(\bigcup \) Other: \(\bigcup_{==}^{\infty} \)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/026,091

Art Unit: 1774

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicants' Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement received on February 09, 2004 has been entered. The cited references have been considered and are made of record.

Rejections based on one of the cited references are set forth in this Office action.

Applicants' Amendment received on December 22, 2003, which amends claims 75, 76, 78, 79, 82, 88, 89, 91, 92, 101, 102 and 107, has been entered. Claims 75-109 are pending.

This Office action is also in response to the three Terminal Disclaimers received January 15, 2004.

2. The terminal disclaimer filed on January 15, 2004, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,198,091, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

The terminal disclaimer filed on January 15, 2004, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,198,092, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,091

Art Unit: 1774

The terminal disclaimer filed on January 15, 2004, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,278,055, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

3. The obviousness-type double patenting rejections set forth in the Office action mailed November 03, 2003 are overcome by the terminal disclaimers filed January 15, 2004.

The remainder of the issues raised in the Office action mailed November 03, 2003 are overcome by applicants' amendment received December 22, 2003 or are withdrawn as noted in the Advisory Action mailed January 26, 2004.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 75, 78, 80-83, 88, 91, 93-96, 101 and 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bonnet al. "Organic Solar Cells an Experimental Study", 13TH European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference, October 23-27, 1995, pp. 1685-1688.

See the whole article, especially the paragraph bridging the two columns on page 1687.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,091

Art Unit: 1774

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 85-87, 98-100, 105, 106 and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonnet et al. as applied to claims 75, 78, 80-83, 88, 91, 93-96, 101 and 102 above, and for the further reasons set forth below.

With respect to present claims 85, 86, 98, 99, 105 and 106, the prior art pertains to organic solar cells and notes that improvements are needed in device structure and materials. It would have been *prima* facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the solar cell based on the intended use of the solar cell.

With respect to claims 87, 100 and 109, the prior art teaches that in tandem cells, a transparent contact is required between adjacent cells. The specific contact disclosed in the prior art has a bilayer structure consisting of a 20 Å thick film of silver and a 20 Å thick film of copper. The prior art teaches that this contact has "reduced transparency" but does not specifically disclose what percentage of ambient electromagnetic radiation is transmitted through the bilayer structure. However, based on the express teachings regarding the need for transparency, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the contact so as to increase the amount of ambient electromagnetic radiation that can be transmitted through the contact.

- 8. Claims 76, 77, 79, 84, 89, 90, 92, 97, 103, 104, 107 and 108 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax number for Art Unit 1774 is (703) 872-9306 for all official faxes. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY February 26, 2004

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1714

Marie R. Januitzly